EC and International Tax Law Series
Volume 23

Revisiting Concepts of Abuse under Tax Treaties and EU Tax Law



Johann Hattingh and Guglielmo Maisto / Editors
Guglielmo Maisto / Series Editor

IBFD

Revisiting Concepts of Abuse under Tax Treaties and EU Tax Law

Revisiting Concepts of Abuse under Tax Treaties and EU Tax Law

edited by
Guglielmo Maisto and Johann Hattingh



Vol. 23
EC and International Tax Law Series

IBFD Publications BV

Visitors' address:
Rietlandpark 301
1019 DW Amsterdam
The Netherlands

Postal address:
P.O. Box 20237
1000 HE Amsterdam
The Netherlands

Telephone: 31-20-554 0100
Email: info@ibfd.org
www.ibfd.org

© 2026 IBFD

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the written prior permission of the publisher. Applications for permission to reproduce all or part of this publication should be directed to: permissions@ibfd.org.

Disclaimer
This publication has been carefully compiled by the IBFD and/or its authors, but no representation is made or warranty given (either express or implied) as to the com-pleteness or accuracy of the information it contains. The IBFD and/or the authors are not liable for the information in this publication or any decision or consequence based on the use of it. The IBFD and/or the authors will not be liable for any direct or consequential damages arising from the use of the information contained in this publication. However, the IBFD will be liable for damages that are the result of an intentional act (*opzet*) or gross negligence (*grove schuld*) on the IBFD's part. In no event shall the IBFD's total liability exceed the price of the ordered product. The information contained in this publication is not intended to be an advice on any particular matter. No subscriber or other reader should act on the basis of any matter contained in this publication without considering appropriate professional advice.

The IBFD and/or the author cannot be held responsible for external content, broken links or risks within the external websites that are referenced as hyperlinks within this publication.

Where photocopying of parts of this publication is permitted under article 16B of the 1912 Copyright Act jo. the Decree of 20 June 1974, Stb. 351, as amended by the Decree of 23 August 1985, Stb. 471, and article 17 of the 1912 Copyright Act, legally due fees must be paid to Stichting Reprorecht (P.O. Box 882, 1180 AW Amstelveen). Where the use of parts of this publication for the purpose of anthologies, readers and other compilations (article 16 of the 1912 Copyright Act) is concerned, one should address the publisher.

ISBN 978-94-6529-064-5 (print)
ISBN 978-94-6529-062-1 (eBook, PDF)
ISSN 1574-969X; 2589-8868 (electronic)
NUR 826

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements lxv

Foreword lxvii

Part One
Domestic Law and Public International Law

Chapter 1: The Terminology of Domestic and Treaty General Anti-Avoidance Rules: A Linguistic Analysis 3
Brian J. Arnold

1.1. Introduction 3

1.2. A brief linguistic analysis of judicial anti-avoidance doctrines and statutory anti-avoidance rules (other than GAARs) 6

1.3. A linguistic analysis of terms often used in domestic and treaty GAARs 10

1.4. The taxpayer's purpose and the statutory or treaty purpose aspects of statutory and treaty GAARs 13
1.4.1. Introduction 13

1.5. The relationship between the ordinary interpretation of the relevant provisions and the determination of the object and purpose of those provisions for purposes of a GAAR 17

1.6. Conclusion 21

Chapter 2: The Evolution of Tax Abuse Measures in Domestic Laws, Doctrines and Practice 27
Christine Osterloh-Konrad

2.1. Introduction 27

2.2.	Some observations on domestic anti-avoidance instruments	29
2.2.1.	GAARs and judicial activism	29
2.2.2.	General anti-avoidance instruments as measures of last resort	30
2.2.3.	Rule of law vs. tax equality	31
2.2.4.	Methodological uncertainties	31
2.2.5.	Subjective and objective indicators of abusive transactions	32
2.2.6.	Terminology and outcome	33
2.2.7.	SAARs, domestic GAARs and international GAARs: A challenging coexistence	33
2.2.8.	Substantive and procedural law	34
2.2.9.	Conclusion: The need for a functional perspective	34
2.3.	The structure of tax avoidance cases	35
2.4.	To play or not to play by the tax rules	37
2.5.	Understanding general anti-avoidance instruments	38
2.6.	Outlook: International convergence?	41
Chapter 3:	**Abuse of Rights, General Principles of International Law and International Tax Treaties** *Jan Wouters*	45
3.1.	Introduction	45
3.2.	General principles of law in public international law	46
3.2.1.	Article 38(1)(c) of the ICJ Statute and its contemporary meaning	46
3.2.2.	Functions of general principles	47
3.3.	General principles and tax treaties	49
3.3.1.	Tax treaties as instruments of public international law	49
3.3.2.	Roles of general principles in the tax treaty context	50
3.3.2.1.	Gap-filling function	50
3.3.2.2.	Interpretative function	51
3.3.2.3.	Complementary function	51

3.3.2.4.	Systemic coherence function	51
3.3.2.5.	Progressive development function	52
3.4.	Abuse of rights as a general principle of international law	53
3.4.1.	Doctrinal foundations	53
3.4.2.	Critical perspectives	54
3.5.	Abuse and tax treaties	55
3.5.1.	Gap-filling	56
3.5.2.	Interpretative aid	57
3.5.3.	Complementing rules	58
3.5.4.	Relationship with explicit anti-abuse rules	58
3.6.	Position of the Swiss Federal Supreme Court	59
3.7.	Conclusion	60

Part Two
EU Tax Law

Chapter 4:	**The General Principle of Prohibition of Abusive Practices under EU Tax Law** *Juliane Kokott*	63
4.1.	General principle	63
4.2.	Narrow concept of abuse in the pre-BEPS era	64
4.3.	Broad concept of abuse in the post-BEPS era	65
4.4.	Anti-abuse as a legal basis for the legislature?	68
4.5.	Conclusion	69
Chapter 5:	**The Principle of Proportionality in EU Tax Law** *Pasquale Pistone*	71
5.1.	Introduction	71
5.2.	Is proportionality a legal principle?	72

5.3.	Proportionality from German legal theory to its transplantation into EU law	76
5.4.	The components of proportionality as an open-ended general EU law principle	80
5.4.1.	Suitability and necessity as the components of the EU law principle of proportionality	80
5.4.2.	An overall assessment of proportionality in non-tax CJEU case law	83
5.5.	The application of proportionality to limits on the exercise of primary law in CJEU tax case law	85
5.5.1.	Proportionality as an instrument to verify the correct application of the rule of reason	85
5.5.2.	Application to the prohibition of abusive and fraudulent practices	89
5.5.3.	The application of proportionality to the treatment of cross-border tax losses	94
5.5.4.	The application of proportionality to coherence and the balanced allocation of taxing rights	95
5.5.5.	The application of proportionality to effective fiscal supervision and collection of tax	97
5.5.6.	The application of proportionality to tax exemptions	98
5.5.7.	A conceptual reconstruction of the tax dimension of proportionality in EU primary law	100
5.6.	The application to secondary EU law on tax matters	101
5.7.	The application to sanctions in tax matters	104
5.8.	Conclusions	106
Chapter 6:	**General Anti-Abuse Rules under the ATAD and the PSD, Including their Implementation by EU Member States** *Alexander Bosman*	111
6.1.	Introduction	111
6.2.	General framework	112

6.2.1.	General EU law concept of prohibition of abuse of rights	112
6.2.2.	ATAD GAAR	114
6.2.3.	PSD GAAR	116
6.2.4.	Domestic anti-abuse provisions and concepts	117
6.3.	Article 6 of the ATAD: Transposition by EU Member States	117
6.3.1.	Modes of transposition: Verbatim, deviating and de facto	117
6.3.1.1.	Verbatim transposition	118
6.3.1.2.	Deviating or "transposition-plus" approaches	118
6.3.1.3.	De facto transposition through existing domestic rules	119
6.3.2.	Some observations	119
6.4.	Article 1(2) and (3) of the PSD: Transposition by EU Member States	121
6.4.1.	Modes of transposition: A fragmented framework	121
6.4.1.1.	Outbound perspective	122
6.4.1.2.	Inbound perspective	122
6.4.1.3.	Both outbound and inbound perspective	123
6.4.1.4.	De facto transposition	123
6.4.2.	Some observations	123
6.5.	Judicial interpretation and application: Recent Dutch case law	125
6.6.	Concluding remarks	128

Part Three
Tax Treaty Law

Chapter 7:	**Article 29(9) of the OECD Model: Application of the Principal Purpose Test** *Jonathan Schwarz*	131
7.1.	Introduction	131
7.2.	PPT requirements	133
7.2.1.	Elements of the PPT	136

7.2.1.1.	Benefit	136
7.2.1.2.	Purpose to take advantage of the benefit	138
7.2.1.3.	Benefit contrary to the purpose of the relevant treaty provision	140
7.3.	OECD Commentary on Article 29(9)	141
7.4.	Relationship with domestic law	142
7.4.1.	Legal hierarchy	142
7.4.2.	EU law	143
7.5.	Remedies	146
Chapter 8:	**The Interaction of Domestic Anti-Abuse Provisions with Tax Treaties** *Michael Lang*	147
8.1.	The OECD Commentaries	147
8.2.	The relationship between domestic law and tax treaties in the light of article 3(2) of the OECD Model	148
8.3.	Specific references to domestic law	150
8.4.	The allocation of the income to the taxpayer	152
8.5.	Establishing the facts	153
8.6.	Autonomous interpretation of the tax treaty	158
8.7.	Summary	159
Chapter 9:	**Special Anti-Abuse/Avoidance Rules under the OECD Model** *Craig Elliffe*	161
9.1.	Introduction	161
9.1.1.	What is abuse in international tax law?	161
9.1.2.	What is meant by special anti-abuse/avoidance rules under the OECD Model?	163

9.2.	The pre-BEPS history of special anti-abuse/ avoidance rules in the OECD Model	163
9.3.	The post-BEPS history of special anti-abuse/ avoidance rules in the Model	167
9.3.1.	Changes in the Model to strengthen interpretation	168
9.3.2.	Changes in the Model to prevent treaty shopping ("rightful user rules")	169
9.3.2.1.	General rules: Limitation on benefits rules and the PPT and permanent establishments in third states	169
9.3.2.2.	Targeted rules: Dividend transfer transactions, tiebreaker rules for dual residents (Action 6); fiscal transparency (Action 2)	170
9.3.3.	Changes in the Model to prevent manipulation/ abuse of the allocation rules	172
9.3.3.1.	General rules: The PPT, splitting up contracts and hiring out labour	172
9.3.3.2.	Targeted rules: Preparatory and ancillary exception to permanent establishments, anti-fragmentation, avoidance of permanent establishments, property-rich companies (Actions 6 and 7)	174
9.3.4.	Changes to the rules preventing the abuse or application of domestic rules	176
9.4.	Reflections on the nature of the specific anti-avoidance rules in the post-BEPS OECD Model	177
9.4.1.	The principle of interpretation of the treaty in good faith necessitates coordinated interpretation	178
9.4.2.	Reinforcement of the emerging interpretation principle that treaties may not limit states' domestic anti-avoidance rules *(lex prohibendo abusa*)	180
9.5.	Conclusion	181
Chapter 10:	**Special Anti-Abuse/Avoidance Rules under the Commentary to the OECD Model** *Cesare Silvani*	183
10.1.	Introduction	183

10.2.	History of "optional" SAARs in the Commentary on Article 1	186
10.2.1.	The Beginnings: OECD Model (1992)	186
10.2.2.	Confirmation of a trend: OECD Model (2003)	191
10.3.	"Optional" SAARs in the current Commentary on Article 1	199
10.3.1.	Rule on special tax regimes	199
10.3.2.	Rule on notional interest for equity	204
10.3.3.	Rule on remittance-based taxation	205
10.4.	Other SAARs in the current version of the Commentary	207
10.4.1.	Commentary on Article 15	207
10.4.2.	Commentary on Article 18	209
10.4.3.	Commentary on Article 21	211
10.4.4.	Commentary on Article 23A	212
10.5.	Final considerations	213
10.5.1.	Grouping the SAARs by objective	213
10.5.2.	Measuring the success of optional SAARs and their interpretative relevance	214
Chapter 11:	**The Contemporary Importance of Special Treaty Anti-Abuse Rules** *Luís Eduardo Schoueri and Ricardo André Galendi Júnior*	217
11.1.	Introduction	217
11.2.	The importance of special anti-abuse rules	218
11.2.1.	GAARs and SAARs as a general issue of tax law	218
11.2.2.	Controversies on the relationship between GAARs and SAARs	221
11.2.3.	The relationship between treaty GAARs and SAARs in the UN Commentary	223
11.3.	Anti-abuse or simply distributive rules? A specificity of DTC SAARs	225
11.3.1.	The limited force of attraction rule	227
11.3.1.1.	The limited force of attraction as a distributive rule	228
11.3.1.2.	The limited force of attraction as an anti-abuse rule	229

11.3.1.3.	Relevance of the adoption of the limited force of attraction rule	230
11.3.2.	The taxation of technical services and article 12A	232
11.3.2.1.	The decisiveness of the anti-abuse reasons for adopting article 12A	233
11.3.2.2.	The insufficiency of the anti-abuse reasons for adopting article 12A	234
11.3.2.3.	The irrelevance of the anti-abuse reasons for adopting article 12A	234
11.3.2.4.	Is article 12A an anti-abuse rule?	235
11.3.3.	Examples from the treaty policy of the states	236
11.3.4.	Anti-abuse rules or simply a different allocation of taxing rights?	237
11.4.	LOB and PPT clauses	238
11.5.	Conclusion	241
Chapter 12:	**Interaction and Overlap of Treaty SAARs and PPT or Other Treaty GAARs** *Frank Pötgens*	243
12.1.	Introduction	243
12.2.	Tax treaty SAARs included in the OECD Model/MLI	244
12.2.1.	General	244
12.2.2.	SAARs included in the OECD Model/MLI	246
12.2.3.	LOB (detailed and simplified) is a SAAR	247
12.2.4.	Beneficial ownership clause	251
12.2.5.	The MAP tiebreaker (article 4(3) of the OECD Model/article 4 of the MLI)	253
12.2.6.	The anti-fragmentation rule (article 5(4.1) of the OECD Model/article 13 of the MLI)	255
12.2.7.	The anti-contract splitting rule (article 14 of the MLI/paragraph 52 of the Commentary on Article 5 of the OECD Model)	256
12.2.8.	Dividend transfer transactions (article 10(2)(a) of the OECD Model/article 8 of the MLI)	257
12.2.9.	Avoidance of capital gains from alienation of shares/interests in real estate entities (article 13(4) of the OECD Model/article 9 of the MLI)	259

12.2.10.	Anti-abuse rule for a low-taxed income attributable to PE in a third state (article 29(8) of the OECD Model/article 10 of the MLI)	260
12.3.	Interrelationship between treaty SAARs and treaty GAARs	261
12.3.1.	Relationship between the guiding principle and the PPT	261
12.3.2.	Hybrid entity provision (article 1(2) of the OECD Model/article 3(1) of the MLI) as an illustration	264
12.3.3.	The PPT versus treaty SAARs	265
12.3.3.1.	General	265
12.3.3.2.	Pre-existing treaty SAARs and the MLI	265
12.3.3.3.	Is the *lex specialis derogat legi generali* principle applicable?	267
12.3.3.3.1.	General	267
12.3.3.3.2.	Unwritten versus written principle	268
12.3.3.3.3.	No conflict between a special and a general rule	269
12.3.3.4.	The PPT applies in parallel to the tax treaty SAARs and supplements them	270
12.3.3.5.	SAARs not included in the treaty or a CTA	271
12.3.3.6.	The OECD Commentaries	274
12.3.3.7.	Legal certainty	278
12.3.3.8.	Conclusion	280
12.4.	Treaty SAARs and the object and purpose test of the PPT	282
12.4.1.	General	282
12.4.2.	The SAAR is included in a tax treaty/CTA	284
12.4.3.	The SAAR is not included in a treaty/CTA	285
12.5.	Some illustrations of the relationship between a treaty SAAR and the PPT (object and purpose test)	286
12.5.1.	General	286
12.5.2.	The PPT and the MAP tiebreaker	287
12.5.2.1.	General	287
12.5.2.2.	OECD Commentary	288
12.5.2.3.	Examples	290
12.5.2.4.	Evaluation	297
12.5.3.	Minimum holding period and the prevention of dividend transfer transactions	299
12.6.	Conclusion and summary	301